Power Engineering Letters.

Generalized Line Outage Distribution Factors

Teoman Güler, Student Member, IEEE, George Gross, Fellow, IEEE, and Minghai Liu, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Distribution factors play a key role in many system security analysis and market applications. The injection shift factors (*ISFs*) are the basic factors that serve as building blocks of the other distribution factors. The line outage distribution factors (*LODFs*) may be computed using the *ISFs* and, in fact, may be iteratively evaluated when more than one line outage is considered. The prominent role of cascading outages in recent blackouts has created a need in security applications for evaluating *LODFs* under multiple-line outages. In this letter, we present an analytic, closed-form expression for and the computationally efficient evaluation of *LODFs* under multiple-line outages.

Index Terms—Line outage distribution factors (*LODFs*), multiple-line outages, power transfer distribution factors (*PTDFs*), system security.

I. INTRODUCTION

DISTRIBUTION factors are linear approximations of the sensitivities of specific system variables with respect to changes in nodal injections and withdrawals [1]–[5]. While the line outage distribution factors (*LODFs*) are well understood [1], the evaluation of *LODFs* under multiple-line outages has received little attention. Given the usefulness of *LODFs* in the study of security with many outaged lines, such as in blackouts impacting large geographic regions, we focus on the fast evaluation of *LODFs* (*GLODFs*). This letter presents an analytic, closed-form expression for, and the computationally efficient evaluation of, *GLODFs*.

II. BASIC DISTRIBUTION FACTORS

We consider a power system consisting of (N+1) buses and L lines. We denote by $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ the set of buses, with the bus 0 being the slack bus, and by $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_L\}$ the set of transmission lines. We associate with each line $\ell_m \in \mathcal{L}$, the ordered pair of nodes (i_m, j_m) . We use the convention that the direction of the real power flow f_{ℓ_m} on the line ℓ_m is from i_m to j_m .

The *ISF* $\psi_{\ell_k}^i$ of line ℓ_k is the (approximate) sensitivity of the change in the line ℓ_k real power flow f_{ℓ_k} with respect to a change in the injection p_i at some node $i \in \mathcal{N}$ and the withdrawal of an equal change amount at the slack bus. Under the lossless

conditions and the typical assumptions used in DC power flow, we construct the *ISF* matrix $\underline{\Psi} \triangleq \underline{B}_d \underline{A} \underline{B}^{-1}$ [5], with $\underline{B}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$ being the branch susceptance matrix, $\underline{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ the reduced incidence matrix, and $\underline{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ the reduced nodal susceptance matrix.

We evaluate the power transfer distribution factors (*PTDFs*) by introducing notation for transactions. The impact of a Δt -*MW* transaction from node *i* to node *j*, denoted by the *ordered* triplet $w \triangleq \{i, j, \Delta t\}$, on f_{ℓ_k} is $\Delta f_{\ell_k}^w$ and is determined by

$$\Delta f^w_{\ell_k} = \varphi^w_{\ell_k} \Delta t \tag{1}$$

where the *PTDF* $\varphi_{\ell_k}^w$ is defined as [5]

$$\varphi^w_{\ell_k} \triangleq \psi^i_{\ell_k} - \psi^j_{\ell_k}.$$
 (2)

For the line ℓ_m outage, we evaluate the impact $\Delta f_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)}$ on the flow f_{ℓ_k} on line ℓ_k using the LODF $\varsigma_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)}$, which specifies the fraction of the pre-outage real power flow on the line ℓ_m redistributed to the line ℓ_k [5] and is given by

$$\varsigma_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)} \triangleq \frac{\Delta f_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)}}{f_{\ell_m}} = \frac{\varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\ell_m)}}{\left(1 - \varphi_{\ell_m}^{w(\ell_m)}\right)}, \quad \ell_k \neq \ell_m.$$
(3)

Here, $w(\ell_m) = \{i_m, j_m, \Delta t\}$ denotes the transaction between the terminal nodes of ℓ_m . As long as $\varphi_{\ell_m}^{w(\ell_m)} \neq 1, \varsigma_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)}$ is defined. The line ℓ_m outage results in a topology change and necessitates reevaluation of the post-outage network *PTDFs*.

We use the notation $(\tau)^{(\ell_m)}$ to denote the value of the variable τ with the line ℓ_m outaged, as in (3). The pre- and post-outage *PTDF*s, $\varphi_{\ell_k}^w$ and $(\varphi_{\ell_k}^w)^{(\ell_m)}$, respectively, are related by [5]

$$\left(\varphi_{\ell_k}^w\right)^{(\ell_m)} \triangleq \varphi_{\ell_k}^w + \varsigma_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_m)} \varphi_{\ell_m}^w. \tag{4}$$

We use the distribution factors introduced in this section to generalize the *LODF* expression for multiple-line outages.

III. DERIVATION OF GLODFS

We first revisit the single-line outage case and examine how the outage impacts may be simulated by net injection and withdrawal changes. The line $\tilde{\ell}_1 = (\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{j}_1)$ outage changes the real power flow in the post-outage network on each line connected to \tilde{i}_1 by the fraction of $f_{\tilde{\ell}_1}$. We simulate this impact by introducing $w(\tilde{\ell}_1) = \{\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{j}_1, \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)\}$ in the pre-outage network. The injection $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ adds a change $\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ on the line $\tilde{\ell}_1$ flow and a net flow change of $(1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ on all the other

Manuscript received July 12, 2006; revised August 30, 2006. This work was supported in part by PSERC. Paper no. PESL-00042-2006.

T. Guler and G. Gross are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801 USA (e-mail: tguler@uiuc.edu; gross@uiuc.edu).

M. Liu is with CRAI, Boston, MA 02116 USA (e-mail: mliu@crai.com).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPWRS.2006.888950

$$\overbrace{\substack{i_{k} + \Delta f_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{1})} + \Delta f_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{2})} + \tilde{f}_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{2})} + \Delta f_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{2})} + \tilde{f}_{\ell_{2}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{1})} + \Delta f_{\ell_{2}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{2})} + \tilde{f}_{\ell_{2}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{2})} + \tilde{f}_{$$

lines but $\tilde{\ell}_1$ that are connected to node \tilde{i}_1 . By selecting $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ to satisfy

$$\left(1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}\right) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1) = f_{\tilde{\ell}_1} \tag{5}$$

the transaction $w(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ changes the flow $f_{\ell_k}, \ell_k \neq \tilde{\ell}_1$, by

$$\Delta f_{\tilde{\ell}_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} = \varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1) = \left[\varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} \left(1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} \right)^{-1} \right] f_{\tilde{\ell}_1}.$$
(6)

In terms of (3), the bracketed term in (6) is $\varsigma_{\ell_k}^{(\ell_1)}$, and so $w(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ with $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ given by (6) simulates the line $\tilde{\ell}_1$ outage impacts.

We proceed with the generalization for multiple-line outages by next considering the case of the outages of the two lines $\tilde{\ell}_1$ and $\tilde{\ell}_2$. We simulate the impacts on f_{ℓ_k} , by introducing $w(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ and $w(\tilde{\ell}_2)$, taking explicitly into account the interactions between these two transactions in specifying $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ and $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_2)$, as shown in Fig. 1. We set $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ to satisfy

$$\left(1 - \left(\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_2)}\right) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1) = \left(f_{\tilde{\ell}_1}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_2)}.$$
(7)

Analogously, we select $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_2)$ to satisfy

$$\left(1 - \left(\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_2)}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_1)}\right) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_2) = \left(f_{\tilde{\ell}_2}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_1)}.$$
(8)

We rewrite (7) and (8) using the relations in (3) and (4) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{I} - \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} & \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_2)} \\ \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} & \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_2)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1) \\ \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{\tilde{\ell}_1} \\ f_{\tilde{\ell}_2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

As long as the matrix in (9) is nonsingular, we determine $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ and $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_2)$ by solving the linear system.

In the inductive process to generalize the result for the case of multiple-line outages, we assume that the impacts of a set of $\alpha - 1$ outaged lines $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)} = {\{\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1}\}}$ are simulated with $\alpha - 1$ transactions whose amounts are specified by

$$\left[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}\right] \underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha-1)} = \underline{f}_{(\alpha-1)}$$
(10)

where $\underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha-1)} = [\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_1), \dots, \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1})]^T$, $\underline{f}_{(\alpha-1)} = [f_{\tilde{\ell}_1}, \dots, f_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1}}]^T$ and

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{\varPhi}}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\mathcal{L}}}(\alpha-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} & \cdots & \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1})} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)} & \cdots & \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1})} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}]$ nonsingular. We now consider the additional line $\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}$ outage. The set of outaged lines is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)} \bigcup \{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}\}$. Reasoning along the lines used in the two-line outage analysis, $\underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha-1)}$ is given by

$$\left[\underline{I} - \left(\underline{\varPhi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right] \underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha-1)} = \left(\underline{f}_{(\alpha-1)}\right)^{(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}.$$
 (11)

We capture the impacts of the outages of the $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}$ elements on $\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}$ by using the analogue of (8) and determine $\Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})$ from

$$\left(1 - \left(\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right)^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}\right) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}) = \left(f_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}\right)^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}.$$
 (12)

The superscript $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)})$ denotes the network with the elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}$ outaged. We define $\underline{\mathbf{b}} \triangleq [\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}, \dots, \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}]^T$ and $\underline{\mathbf{c}} \triangleq [\varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}, \dots, \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha-1})}]^T$ and rewrite (11) and (12) as $\left[\underline{I} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}\right] \underline{\Delta} \underline{t}_{(\alpha-1)} - \underline{\mathbf{b}} \left(1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right)^{-1} \times \left(f_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}} + \underline{\mathbf{c}}^T \underline{\Delta} \underline{t}_{(\alpha-1)}\right) = \underline{f}_{(\alpha-1)} \left(1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right) \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}) - \underline{\mathbf{c}}^T \left[\underline{I} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}}\right]^{-1} \times \left(\underline{f}_{(\alpha-1)} + \underline{\mathbf{b}} \Delta t(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})\right) = f_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}$ (13)

which may be simplified to

$$\left[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}\right] \underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha)} = \underline{f}_{(\alpha)}, \ \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha-1)}} & \underline{b} \\ \underline{c}^{T} & \varphi_{\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

So long as $[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}]$ is nonsingular, we use (14) to solve for $\underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha)}$ and so simulate the impacts of the α line outages.

This development for specifying the appropriate values of the transactions is used to provide the *GLODF* expression. For any line $\ell_k \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}$, we define $\underline{\xi}_{\ell_k}^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}$, whose elements are the *GLODF*s with the lines in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}$ outaged, with the interactions between the outaged lines fully considered. The change in the real power flow of line ℓ_k is

$$(\Delta f_{\ell_k})^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}_{(\alpha)}} \triangleq \left[\underline{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\ell_k}^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}_{(\alpha)}}\right]^T \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}_{(\alpha)}, \quad \ell_k \notin \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}_{(\alpha)}.$$
(15)

However, the combined impacts on line ℓ_k of the α transactions with the $\underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha)}$ specified by (14) is

$$(\Delta f_{\ell_k})^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}} = \left[\varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}, \dots, \varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_\alpha)}\right] \underline{\Delta t}_{(\alpha)}.$$
(16)

Therefore, for $[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}]$ nonsingular, we rewrite (16) as

$$(\Delta f_{\ell_k})^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}} = \left[\varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_1)}, \dots, \varphi_{\ell_k}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right] \times \left[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}\right]^{-1} \underline{f}_{(\alpha)}.$$
 (17)

It follows from (15) that $\underline{\xi}_{\ell_k}^{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}}$ is the solution of

$$\left[\underline{\boldsymbol{I}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\varPhi}}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}_{(\alpha)}}\right]^{T} \underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}_{\ell_{k}}^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}_{(\alpha)}} = \left[\varphi_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{1})}, \dots, \varphi_{\ell_{k}}^{w(\tilde{\ell}_{\alpha})}\right]^{T}$$
(18)

and is defined whenever $[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)}]$ is nonsingular. The case for singular $[\underline{I} - \underline{\Phi}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)}]$ indicates that the outage of the α lines in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\alpha)}$ separates the system into two or more islands. The analysis of such cases is treated in [6]. In fact, a simple case is the outage of a line whose *PTDF* equals one. In this case, the outage of the line results in the creation of two separate subsystems. When the outaged line whose *PTDF* is unity happens to be a radial tie, the outage results in the isolation of the radial node.

The relation (18) provides an analytic, closed-form expression for the *GLODF*s. Since the *GLODF* is expressed in terms of the pre-outage network parameters, we avoid the need to evaluate the post-outage network parameters. A key advantage in the deployment of *GLODF*s is the ability to evaluate the post-outage flows on specific lines of interest without the need to determine the post-outage network states. The proposed *LODF* extension permits the *GLODF* evaluation through a computationally efficient procedure that involves the solution of a system of linear equations whose dimension is the number of line outages.

IV. SUMMARY

The prominent role of cascading outages in recent blackouts has created a critical need in security applications for the rapid assessment of multiple-line outage impacts. We developed a closed-form analytic expression for *GLODF*s under multiple-line outages without the reevaluation of post-outage network system parameters. This general expression allows the computationally efficient evaluation of *GLODF*s for security application purposes. A very useful application of *GLODF*s is in the detection of island formation and the identification of causal factors under multiple-line outages [6].

REFERENCES

- A. Wood and B. Wollenberg, *Power Generation Operation and Control*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1996, p. 422.
- [2] R. Baldick, "Variation of distribution factors with loading," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1316–1323, Nov. 2003.
- [3] F. D. Galiana, "Bound estimates of the severity of line outages," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, vol. PAS-103, pp. 2612–2624, Feb. 1984.
- [4] M. Liu and G. Gross, "Effectiveness of the distribution factor approximations used in congestion modeling," in *Proc. 14th Power Systems Computation Conf.*, Seville, Spain, Jun. 24–28, 2002.
- [5] M. Liu and G. Gross, "Role of distribution factors in congestion revenue rights applications," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 802–810, May 2004.
- [6] T. Guler and G. Gross, "Detection of island formation and identification of causal factors under multiple line outages," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 2, May 2007, to be published.