DER UNDER NET ENERGY METERING X PROSUMER DECISIONS, SOCIAL WELFARE, CROSS-SUBSIDIES, AND MARKET POTENTIAL

Lang Tong School of Electrical & Computer Engineering Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

Joint work with Ahmed S. Alahmed

11/2/2021

# Net energy metering (NEM) and retail tariff











http://solardesign.com/history-of-sda/

1999

# From 1970's to 2020's



- 10% customers are under NEM (PG&E)
   24% tatal and functional second second





Solar Capacity in Top 10 States

Solar Capacity (MW)
Gavop Analysis. Data Sources: Census. SEIA / Wood Mackenzie Report



### Consumers in the future

- Distributed energy resources and flexible demand
- Smart home: intelligent HEMS with IoT sensors. Data analytics and ML to adapt to DER supply and pricing signals from the utility and DERA.
- □ Stratified consumer groups:
  - Price and DER elastic prosumers with AI/ML hardened HEMS
  - Still, conventional consumers are always significant customer population.

# Retail rate of electricity



# a. PG&E

Borenstein-Fowlie-Sallee, "Designing electricity rates for an equitable energy transition, Energy Institute WP 314, Feb. 2021

### NEM 1.0 tariff model:



Bonbright principles: Cost recovery, efficiency, equity, stability.

J.C. Bonbright, "Principles of public utility rates," 1961.

- High volumetric charges above SMC send distorted signal that inhibits electrification.
- High (uniform) fixed charges discourage conservation and discriminate low consumption customers.
- Ramsey pricing maximizes social welfare subject to cost recovery constraints.
- □ BTM DER impacts:
  - Equity: cost shifts and cross subsidies.
  - □ Stability: death spiral hypothesis.

# Retail rate of electricity





Borenstein-Fowlie-Sallee, "Designing electricity rates for an equitable energy transition, Energy Institute WP 314, Feb. 2021

# NEM 1.0 tariff model: $P_{1.0}(d,r) = \pi(d-r) + \pi_0$ $\downarrow$ Volumetric charge Fixed charge

Bonbright principles: Efficiency, cost recovery, equity, stability.

(-20, 75) \$/month

### J.C. Bonbright, "Principles of public utility rates," 1961.

(0.03, 0.46) \$/kWh

### Features of NEM 2.0 and proposed successors

Payment Discriminating via consumption and production prices Retailrote Discriminating fixed charges based on DER capacity & income SMC Fixed charge TOU pricing VDER Net demand NEM 1.0 NEM 2.0 Net producer Net consumer

# **Objectives and main results:**

- Objectives: (i) Obtain optimal price- and DER-elastic prosumer consumptions; (ii) gain analytical insights into prosumer behavior under NEM.
- Results: Formalize an inclusive parametric model—NEM X—that captures key features of the existing and proposed NEM tariff models.
- Results: Characterize optimal (elastic) prosumer decisions under NEM X:
  - The optimal consumption policy is a three-zone two-threshold (predetermined) policy based on BTM generation.
- Results: Under (stochastic) Ramsey pricing model, provide comparative statics with respect to NEM parameters and performance evaluation of social welfare, cost shifts (cross subsidies), and market potentials.

### Some limitations:

- Stylized theoretical models and idealized assumptions.
- Numerical evaluations used extrapolated parameters from on-line data.

Ahmed S. Alahmed and Lang Tong, "On Net Energy Meeting X: Optimal Prosumer Decisions, Social Welfare, Cross-subsidies," arxiv <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.09977</u>, Oct. 2021



# Net metering X tariff model



$$P_{\text{NEM-X}}^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(d,r) = \begin{cases} \pi_i^+(d-r) + \pi_j, & d \ge r \\ \pi_i^-(d-r) + \pi_j, & d < r \end{cases}$$

 $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$  Time-dependent: (dynamic) price  $j \in \{1, \cdots, K\}$  Class-dependent charge: (income, capacity)

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi} &= (\boldsymbol{\pi}^+, \boldsymbol{\pi}^-, \boldsymbol{\pi}_0) & \text{Net billing period:} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}^+ &= (\pi_1^+, \cdots, \pi_N^+) \text{ Retail (consumption) rate} & \text{minutes, hours, days,...} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}^- &= (\pi_1^-, \cdots, \pi_N^-) \text{ Sell (generation) rate} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_0 &= (\pi_0^1, \cdots, \pi_0^k) & \text{Fixed charge} \end{aligned}$$

# Consumer and prosumer responses

### Surplus maximization:

$$\max_{d} \begin{pmatrix} U(d) - P(d-r) \\ \\ \text{Utility} & \text{Payment} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$d^*(r) := \arg \max_d \left( U(d) - P(d-r) \right)$$
$$S^*(r) := U(d^*) - P(d^* - r)$$

NEW 10 D (d m) -(d m) -

$$S_{\text{pros}}^{*}(r)$$

$$S_{\text{pros}}^{*}(r)$$

$$S_{\text{cons}}^{*}(r)$$

$$G_{\text{pros}}^{*}(r) = d_{\text{cons}}^{*}(r)$$



NEM 2.0: 
$$P_{2.0}(d,r) = \begin{cases} \pi^+(d-r) + \pi_0, & d \ge r \\ \pi^-(d-r) + \pi_0, & d < r \end{cases}$$





### Prosumer with multiple devices under NEM X

**Theorem 1** (Prosumer decision under NEM X). Given NEM parameter  $\pi = (\pi^+, \pi^-, \pi^o)$  and marginal utility  $(V_1, \dots, V_M)$  of consumption devices, under A1-A4, the optimal prosumer consumption policy is given by two thresholds

$$d^{+} := \sum_{i} \max\{0, V_{i}^{-1}(\pi^{+})\}, d^{-} := \sum_{i} \max\{0, V_{i}^{-1}(\pi^{-})\} \ge r^{+}$$
(3)

that partitions the range of DER production into three zones:

1) Net consumption zone:  $r < d^+$ : Be a net-consumer when  $r < d^+$  with consumption

$$d_i^+ = \max\{0, V_i^{-1}(\pi^+)\} \ge 0, \quad \forall i.$$
(4)

3) <u>Net-zero energy zone:</u>  $d^+ \le r \le d^-$  Be a net-zero consumer when  $d^+ \le r \le d^-$  with consumption:

$$d_{i}^{o}(r) = \max\{0, V_{i}^{-1}(\mu^{*}(r))\} \in [d_{i}^{+}, d_{i}^{-}], \forall i$$
 (6)  
where  $\mu^{*}(r) \in [\pi^{-}, \pi^{+}]$  is a solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \max\{0, V_i^{-1}(\mu)\} = r, \tag{7}$$

and  $d_i^o(\cdot)$  is continuous and monotonically increasing in  $[d_i^+, d_i^-]$ .

2) Net production zone:  $r > d^-$ . Be a net-producer when  $r > d^-$  with consumption

$$d_i^- = \max\{0, V_i^{-1}(\pi^-)\} \ge d_i^+, \quad \forall i.$$
 (5)

NEM 2.0: 
$$P_{2.0}(d, r) = \begin{cases} \pi^+(d-r) + \pi_0, & d \ge r \\ \pi^-(d-r) + \pi_0, & d < r \end{cases}$$



# **Comparative statics**



### COMPARATIVE STATIC ANALYSIS



↑: increasing ↓: decreasing —: unchanged X: indeterminant

### Key take-aways:

- Prosumer maximizes its surplus and improves system efficiency by consuming more using BTM DER in the net-zero and net-producing zones.
- Reducing sell rate narrows the net-production zone and enlarges the net-zero zone.
- Optimal consumption reduces negative payment (cost shifts).

# Rate-setting under NEM X

$$P_{1.0}(d,r) = \pi(d-r) + \pi_0$$

$$P_{2.0}(d,r) = \begin{cases} \pi^+(d-r) + \pi_0, & d \ge r \\ \pi^-(d-r) + \pi_0, & d < r \end{cases}$$

$$P_{\text{NEM-X}}^{\pi}(d,r) = \begin{cases} \pi_i^+(d-r) + \pi_j, & d \ge r \\ \pi_i^-(d-r) + \pi_j, & d < r \end{cases}$$

Ramsey pricing  

$$\max_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Customer} \\ \mathsf{surplus} \end{array} \right) + \gamma \mathcal{E}(r_n) \right)$$

$$\max_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{L} \\ \mathsf{S}_{c}^{\pi}(\mathbf{d}^{*}(r_n), \gamma) + \gamma \mathcal{E}(r_n) \right) \\ \mathsf{Subject to} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{S}_{u}^{\pi}(\mathbf{d}^{*}(r_n), \gamma) + \gamma \mathcal{E}(r_n) \right) \\ \mathsf{L} \\ \mathsf{tillity surplus} \end{array} \right) = 0.$$

$$S_c^{\pi}(d^*(r_n), \gamma) := \gamma S_{\text{pros}}^{\pi}(d^*_{\text{pros}}(r_n)) + (1 - \gamma) S_{\text{cons}}^{\pi}(d^*_{\text{cons}}(r_n))$$

Prosumer surplus

Consumer surplus

 $j \in \{1, \cdots, K\}$  Class-dependent charge: (income, capacity)

 $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$  Time-dependent prices



# Performance evaluation

## NEM X tariff cases

- □ NEM 1.0 (CA)
- □ NEM 2.0 w. TOU (CA
- □ NEM 2.0 w. SMC sell rate at 8 cents/kWh.

### DESCRIPTION OF CASE STUDIES

#	Name	Tariff	Rate	Compensation (\$/kWh)	Fixed Charges	Discrimination <sup>‡</sup>	
1	NEM 1.0	one-part	IBR*	$\pi^- = \pi^+$	_	No	
2	<b>NEM 2.0</b>	one-part	ToU§	$\pi^- = \pi^+ - 0.03$	_	No	
3	NEM SMC	one-part	ToU	$\pi^- = \pi^{smc}$	-	No	

 $^\ast$  Similar to PG&E, IBR has two blocks, with a 20% higher price for above baseline usage.

 $^{\$}$  ToU parameters for all case studies are similar to PG&E ToU-B, i.e. 1.5 peak ratio and a 16 – 21 peak period.



 $\label{eq:max} \square \quad \text{Market potential:} \quad \rho(\pi,\xi) = \gamma^{\max} \exp(-\epsilon t_{\text{PB}}^{\pi}(r,\xi))$ 

# Short-run social welfare

### Prosumer surplus

Consumer surplus Environmental/health



### Key parameters:

 $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \gamma S_{\text{pros}}^{\pi}(d_{\text{pros}}^{*}(r_{n})) + (1-\gamma) S_{\text{cons}}^{\pi}(d_{\text{cons}}^{*}(r_{n})) + \gamma \mathcal{E}(r_{n}) \right)$ 

### □ SMC: \$0.08/kWh

Borenstein-Fowlie-Sallee, "Designing electricity rates for an equitable energy transition, Energy Institute WP 314, Feb. 2021

### Utility fixed cost \$2.35/day

California Public Utility Commission, "Prepared testimony for a successor tariff to the current net energy metering tariffs," June 18, 2021

# Short-run cost shifts





Prosumer bill saving  
Cost shifts: 
$$\psi_{\gamma}^{\pi} = \sum_{n} \gamma \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta P^{\pi} \left( r_{n} \right) - \pi^{\text{SMC}} r_{n} \right)$$

$$\Delta P^{\pi}(r_n) := P^{\pi}(\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{d}_c^*) - P^{\pi}(\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{d}_p^*(r_n) - r_n).$$

Prosumer population	5%	15%	25%	35%	45%	55%	65%
NEM 1.0	0.18	0.72	1.46	2.71	_	_	_
NEM 2.0	0.14	0.54	1.04	1.74	3.01	_	_
NEM CBC	0.06	0.22	0.40	0.62	0.89	1.31	2.37
NEM SMC	0.09	0.30	0.53	0.78	1.03	1.31	1.6

# Payback time, market potential, and long run DER adoption



# Conclusions

- NEM X is a convenient and natural model for existing NEM policies and the proposed successor tariffs. It can also be extended to include feed-in tariff.
- The optimal prosumer consumption has a simple two-threshold three-zone structure that lends itself to a highly scalable scheduling solution.
- Optimal prosumer consumption not only maximizes its surplus but also improves overall system efficiency and reduces cost-shifts (cross subsidies).
- Significant insights can be gained into characteristics of the optimal prosumer consumption, and how it is affected by NEM tariff parameters.
- NEM 2.0 and its successors aim to address three of the four Bonbright principles, leaving out the long-run stability of NEM policies.