Enabling the Resilient Electric Grid

Anurag K Srivastava Washington State University

(anurag.k.srivastava@wsu.edu)



PSERC Webinar November 3, 2020



NOAA

1980-2020 Year-to-Date United States Billion-Dollar Disaster Event Frequency (CPI-Adjusted)

Event statistics are added according to the date on which they ended.

Statistics valid as of October 7, 2020.

This map denotes the approximate location for each of the 16 separate billion-dollar weather and climate disasters that impacted the United States not



EYE ON THE STORM

Hurricane Zeta's high winds cause second largest U.S. power outage of 2020

Three deaths are blamed on the storm, which made landfall Wednesday in southeastern Louisiana as a Category 2 hurricane with 110 mph winds.

By Jeff Masters, Ph.D. | Thursday, October 29, 2020



Tropical Storm Isaias has caused the second-largest power outage in Con Edison history, affecting 210,000 customers

Thousands without power for fourth day in eastern Washington, North Idaho

More than 50,000 were without power on Monday after high winds knocked down power lines throughout eastern Washington and North

The electrical distribution network is reliable but not resilient









Changing grid with DERs and Edge Devices Extreme events demands superior grid resilience

What is Resilience?

- Multiple definitions exist.
- Focus on critical loads for distribution grids.
- "Resilience Ability of the system to supply its critical loads, even in the presence of multiple contingencies".

Enhancing the RESILIENCE

of the Nation's Electricity System

FERC: The ability to withstand and reduce the magnitude and/or duration of disruptive events, which include the capacity to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and/or rapidly recover from such an event.

PES PSDP definition and metric for resilience WG, PES T&D Distribution System Resiliency, PSOPE tools for resilience, AMPS Resilience Metrics and Evaluation Methods and CIGRE WG 4.47 and 2.25

Monitoring and Enabling Resilience



Control Center

Real-Time Resilience Management System (RT-RMS)

RT-RMS





Resilience Analysis Tool – Functional Block Diagram

Monitoring Resilience



Multi-temporal Multidimensional Resilience Framework



Based on determining all the system factors impacting system ability to provide energy to the critical loads and integrating all the factors for AWR

Anticipate Metric



Anticipate Metric



Withstand Metric





RT-RMS Tool: Decision Support

REAL-TIME RESILIENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM System Information Resiliency Monitoring **Decision Support** Planning and Analysis Pre-Event During Event Post-Event **Event Details** Expected Outage and Summary Live Tracking Fault Location ORCA + Event Type Tsunami Coordinates (60.52669, -145.742749) Isolated Section Details ORCA Substation) Wind Speed 12m/s Wind Speed 12m/s N507 North-west Wind Direction Wind Direction North-west 🖌 N526 Leafiet | Map data © OpenStreetMap contributors, CC-BY SA, Imagery © Mapbox 📄 Economic Mode 🦲 Resilient Mode CLNL 🦲 Generation 🦰 CLD 🛑 TIF 🌑 SOC nfluence Factor Value (Econom 1.0 0.9 0.8 1.0 0.9 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 CLNL 🛑 Generation 🦳 CLD 🌔 TIF SOC Factor Value (Resilient) Resiliency Value 1.0 0.7 0.9 0.7 0.6 0.2 0.5 0.4 0.3 2 0.2 nfluence 0.1 Time(Hours) Time(Hours) Time(Hours)

Enabling Resiliency

Long term Planning:	Medium Term Proactive Control	Post Event Corrective Control
Grid Hardening (underground cable)	Damage/outage estimation	Mobile Generators
Vegetation Management and Outage Prediction	Transmission Network Segmentation	Restoration using sensor information, trouble call system, weather information, loads
Sensor Deployment	Distribution Automation (Switching, Sectionalization), and Islanding	Distribution automation and DERs Coordination
Upgrade Poles and Infrastructure	Temporary Microgrids	Microgrid with changing boundary
Backup/ mobile generators, Storage and Automatic Switches	Deployment of Moving Generators	Networked microgrid
Distributed Optimization/ Control at Edge	Backup Power Support to individual critical facilities	Crews and Logistics deployment



Event Specific Technical Challenges

Classification of threats	Examples
Physical – man-made	Terrorist Threats, Physical Security violations, Vandalism Pandamic
Physical – natural	Cyclones, Drough , Earthquake / Seismic Events, Floods, Hurricanes / Superstroms, Land Slides / Avalanches, Snow / Ice Strom, Tsunamis, Wildfires
Cyber	Malware, Denial of service, Man- in-the-middle

		in-the-middle
Flood: Elevating substation, flood hardened control room	Tsunami: Isolate to be impacted generators apriori to minimize restoration time	Avalanche: Deploy crew sufficiently in advance to ensure their safety
Wildfire: Vegetation management, power lines burial to minimize the probability of fire induced by power lines	Storm: Strengthening poles with guy wires, power lines burial	Cyber-events: Distributed approaches, reduced reliance on communication network

Operational environment and different events -- There is no silver bullet

Use Case 1: Data-driven Distribution System Reconfiguration using D-PMU

• D-PMUs can help us proactive reconfiguration of the system

18

- Based on the measurement we can deploy pre-event reconfiguration with controlled islanding and using shift-and-shed of loads
- Minimize impact of expected outage by pre-event shift-and-shed of loads



Algorithms: Distribution System Reconfiguration using D-PMU

Algorithm 1: Resilient Shift-and-Shed Proactive Control Algorithm Using D-PMU Data.

Ι	nput: $F_i = [V_i , \theta_i, P_i, Q_i]$, for $i = 1 \dots n_s, \delta_{ij}$
	(Eq. 15)
0	Dutput: Breaker status switching sequence
ŀ	Phasor aggregation at DMS
1:	Data filtration
2:	Initialize array P_{ij} containing line flow data
3:	for $i = 1$ to n_s do
4:	Compute line flows between nodes <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> ($j \neq i$)
	(Eq. 13)
5:	Compute $\frac{\Delta \delta_i}{\Delta t}$ (Eq. 14)
6:	if $(\frac{\Delta \delta_i}{\Delta t} \ge \overline{\delta_{threshold}})$ then
7:	Append <i>i</i> to F^{risk}
8:	Change CLOSED switch to OPEN at bus i
9:	Change N.O. switch to CLOSED, between buses i
	and j
10:	if $\delta_{ij^{new}} < \delta_{ij^{prev}}$ and $\delta_{ij^{new}} \rightarrow 0$ then
11:	Continue
12:	else
13:	Return to Line 4
14:	end if
15:	else
16:	Append <i>i</i> to F^{normal}
17:	end if
18:	end for
19:	return F^{risk} , F^{normal} , δ_i , $P_i j$

Algorithm 2: Resiliency-Driven Reconfiguration. Input: Feeder at risk of being islanded due to storm, $f_i^{normal}, \delta_{t-1}$ **Output:** R_i , Switch-on, switch status Determine load transfer required 1: Predict δ_t (Eq. 15) 2: 3: Choose the feeder edge with lowest line-flow (from Algorithm 1) Compute $\frac{\Delta \delta_i}{\Delta t}$ (Eq. 14) 4: if $\frac{\Delta \delta_i}{\Delta t} \leq \delta_{threshold}$ then 5: Compute switching sequence, available paths 6: (p(i, j)) using M.S.T. 7: if n(p(i, j)) > 1 then 8: Determine R_i for each p9: Sort all R_i by magnitude 10: Check for power flow convergence if convergence is true then 11: 12: return Switching sequence (i.e. path) that yielded highest R_i 13: else if convergence is false then 14: Choose next highest R_i path Go to Line 10 15: 16: else 17: Shed non-critical load 18: end if 19: else 20: Check for power flow convergence of only path p21: if convergence is true then 22: return Switching sequence of path p 23: else 24: Shed non-critical load 25: end if 26: end if 27: else 28: Shed non-critical load 29: end if

30: Repeat iteration until all critical loads are restored

19

Distribution System Reconfiguration using D-PMU



Distribution System Reconfiguration using D-PMU

Resiliency Indices Comparison: Networked Microgrids	
---	--

Resiliency Resource	Algorithm 1 and 2 used	Loss (kW)	Critical Load Loss (kW)	Resiliency [26]	Resiliency (AHP-based)
DG 1	No	1200	500	0.00083	0.16725
DG 1	Yes	1200	500	0.00083	0.20000
DG 2	No	1300	1000	0.00077	0.12500
DG 2	Yes	1100	500	0.00091	0.22500
DG 1, DG 2	No	1100	500	0.00091	0.27250
DG 1, DG 2	Yes	800	500	0.00125	0.32650
DG 1, DG 2, Solar	No	1100	500	0.00091	0.27250
DG 1, DG 2, Solar	Yes	400	0	0.00250	0.42125

Feeder Specific Resiliency Metrics

Feeder	Summer	Winter	Before Event	Without D-PMU	With D-PMU
F-1	0.78031	0.73216	0.85462	0.17819	0.58191
F-2	0.58021	0.52973	0.65232	0	0.38985
F-3	0.57223	0.56973	0.64823	0	0.26541
F-4	0.52387	0.54813	0.56648	0.19871	0.38911
F-5	0.58083	0.52364	0.60247	0	0.28192
F-6	0.46337	0.53368	0.53912	0	0.11837
F-7	0.81293	0.66107	0.72651	0.09321	0.48912
F-8	0.76938	0.68912	0.81034	0.00212	0.8103
F-9	0.64931	0.64236	0.62566	0	0.16839
F-10	0.57223	0.56981	0.64237	0	0.16892

Proactive Control for Economic and Resilient Operation with BESS



Economic mode of operation

Resilience analysis of RE-**ESOT** operations E-mode score R-mode score R-mode SOC E-mode SOC 0.8 0.8 (:n.d) Resilience Scores 0.6 S 0.6 Battery 60 0.4 0.2 0.2 2 3 4 5 6 1

Hours

Resilient mode of operation



1. Pandey, Shikhar, Srivastava, Anurag K., Srivastava, Sanjeev, Mohanpurkar, Manish U., Kandaperumal, Gowtham, and Hovsapian, Rob. "Optimal Operation for Resilient and Economic Modes in an Islanded Alaskan Grid." Preprint. IEEE PES GM 2020, Montreal, Canada August 2–6, 2020



$$\max \sum_{\forall t \in T} \sum_{\forall i \in B} k_i^{CL} \alpha_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) P_i^{CL, t} + k_i^{NCL} \beta_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) P_i^{NCL, t}$$

Use Case II: Two Stage Proactive Control

- Outage of energized lines and energized generators due to expected events will cause more impact compared to unenergized lines and generators
- Not all available switches available at the disposal of the operator are remotely operable

23

- When the forecast is certain, and disaster cannot be avoided, switching operations are important for resiliency improvement
- Two stage includes manually operated switch followed by automatic switches

Two Stage Proactive Control

 $z_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}; \quad \forall i, j \in B$ $z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} = x_{i,j} + y_{i,j}; \quad \forall i, j \in B$ $z_{i,f} = 0; \quad \forall f \in B^F$ $\sum z_{j,i} \le 1; \quad \forall i \in B$ $(1 - z_{i,j})(W_i - W_j - 1) = 0; \quad \forall i, j \in B$ $\lambda_i \in \{1, 2\}; \quad \forall i \in B$ $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) (x_{i,j} + y_{i,j}) = 0; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W$ $\left(1 - \sum_{i \in J, i \in J} z_{j,i}\right) (2 - \lambda_i) = 0; \quad \forall i \in B \setminus B^F$ $\psi_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W$ $\psi_{i,j} \leq z_{i,j}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W$ $z_{i,j} \left(\lambda_i + \lambda_j - 3 \right) \le \left(1 - \psi_{i,j} \right) - \epsilon \psi_{i,j}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W$ $z_{i,j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j - 3) \ge -\psi_{i,j}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W$ $\left(P_{i}^{DG,t}\right)^{2} + \left(Q_{i}^{DG,t}\right)^{2} \leq (2 - \lambda_{i})\Phi_{i}^{DG,t}\left(S_{i}^{DG}\right)^{2}; \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $(2-\lambda_i)\Phi_i^{DG,t}P_i^{DG} \le P_i^{DG,t} \le (2-\lambda_i)\Phi_i^{DG,t}\overline{P_i^{DG}}; \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $(2 - \lambda_i)\Phi_i^{DG,t}Q_i^{DG} \le Q_i^{DG,t} \le (2 - \lambda_i)\Phi_i^{DG,t}\overline{Q_i^{DG}}; \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $P_i^t = \alpha_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) P_i^{CL,t} + \beta_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) P_i^{NCL,t} - \sum P_i^{DG,t} - P_i^{F,t}; \quad \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $Q_i^t = \alpha_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) Q_i^{CL,t} + \beta_i^t (2 - \lambda_i) Q_i^{NCL,t} - \sum_{\forall DC}^{NCL,t} Q_i^{DC,t} - Q_i^{F,t}; \quad \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $-S_{i,j}^L\psi_{i,j} \le p_{i,j}^t \le S_{i,j}^L\psi_{i,j}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T$ $-S_{i,j}^L\psi_{i,j} \le q_{i,j}^t \le S_{i,j}^L\psi_{i,j}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T$ $\sum_{\forall j: (i,j) \in W} p_{j,i}^t - p_{i,j}^t = P_i^t; \quad \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $\sum_{\forall i: (i,j) \in W} q_{j,i}^t - q_{i,j}^t = Q_i^t; \quad \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $\left(p_{i,j}^{t}\right)^{2} + \left(q_{i,j}\right)^{2} \leq \left(S_{i,j}^{L}\right)^{2}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T$ $v_{i}^{t} \leq v_{j}^{t} + 2\left(r_{i,j}p_{i,j}^{t} + x_{i,j}q_{i,j}^{t}\right) + M(1 - \psi_{i,j}); \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T$ $v_{i}^{t} \ge v_{j}^{t} + 2\left(r_{i,j}p_{i,j}^{t} + x_{i,j}q_{i,j}^{t}\right) - M(1 - \psi_{i,j}); \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T$ $-M(\lambda_i - 1) + v_i \leq v_i^t \leq \overline{v_i} + M(\lambda_i - 1); \forall i \in B, \forall t \in T$ $v_i^t = v^{ref}; \quad \forall i \in B^F, \forall t \in T$

Degenerated Radiality Constraints

Radiality Enforcement in a Microgrid

Isolation of to be Faulted Part

Healthy Subsystems including Microgrids

Local generators capability limits

Nodal Flow Balance

Line Flow Balance

Power Flow Equations and Voltage Limits

Two Stage Proactive Control

$$\begin{split} z_{i,j} \geq \psi_{i,j}^{*}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W \\ \lambda_{i} \leq \lambda_{i}^{*}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ \alpha_{i}^{t} = \alpha_{i}^{t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ \beta_{i}^{t} = \beta_{i}^{t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{\beta}_{i}^{t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ P_{i}^{DG,t} = P_{i}^{DG,t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{P}_{i}^{DG,t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ P_{i}^{BSD,t} = P_{i}^{BSD,t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{P}_{i}^{BSD,t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ Q_{i}^{DG,t} = Q_{i}^{DG,t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{Q}_{i}^{DG,t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ Q_{i}^{BSD,t} = Q_{i}^{BSD,t,*} + (\lambda_{i}^{*} - 1)\hat{Q}_{i}^{BSD,t}; \quad \forall i \in B \\ Q_{i}^{i,j} = \psi_{i,j}^{*}p_{i,j}^{t,*} + (1 - \psi_{i,j}^{*})\hat{p}_{i,j}^{t}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T \\ q_{i,j}^{t} = \psi_{i,j}^{*}q_{i,j}^{t,*} + (1 - \psi_{i,j}^{*})\hat{q}_{i,j}^{t}; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W, \forall t \in T \\ z_{i,j} + z_{j,i} = \hat{x}_{i,j} + \hat{y}_{i,j}; \quad \forall i, j \in B \\ (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j})(\hat{x}_{i,j} + \hat{y}_{i,j}) = 0; \quad \forall (i,j) \in W \end{split}$$

Limit operation and set point generation for healthy part

Limit switching operations

Constraints of Stage 1

Two Stage Proactive Control Algorithm



Two Stage Proactive Control: IEEE 123-Bus and 45-node CEC System



Solution with IEEE 123-bus System

Solution with CEC System



Two-Stage Proactive Control: Results

- Scenario 1: Disaster strikes upon the network without prior preparation
- Scenario 2: Network is proactively reconfigured, but, to be outaged part removed apriori
- Scenario 3: Outaged part is operated through remote switches, only minutes before



Image source: OSHA

USE CASE III: CREW SAFETY DURING SYSTEM RESTORATION EFFORTS

COVID-19 hotspots present safety concerns to utility workers

SAFETY FIRST CREW ROUTING ALGORITHM

- System assessment provides restoration requirements
- Crew Routing Solves for shortest round trip based on restoration requirements, distance and proximity to covid-19 hotspots
- Solutions are subject to resiliency analysis for best solution



SAFETY FIRST CREW ROUTING ALGORITHM



SAFETY FIRST CREW ROUTING ALGORITHM: RESULTS

 Screen capture of RT-RMS showing the live resilience metrics computation along with the live map showing asset status and COVID-19 zones



Screen capture of RT-RMS showing the asset information with repair instructions, scheduling with no-go zones, and the routing on the map with safety first algorithm



COVID-19 data for Washington State is obtained from John Hopkins Coronavirus dataset

RT-RMS: Virtual Assistant



RT-RMS Tool Video Tour

Real Time Resiliency Managemen × +			- 0 ×				
← → C ③ 127.0.0.1:5000			🖈 🧟 🛦 🧔 E				
REAL-TIME RESILIENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM							
System Information	Resiliency Monitoring	Decision Support	Planning and Analysis				
Infrastructure Network Details Generating Units	Loads Resource Information						
System	n Information	Update System Information					
Number of Micro-PMUs	6	Upload File (Supported Format: csv)					
Number of circuit breakers	50	Choose File No file chosen					
Number of substations	5	Submit					
Number of smart meters	10000						
Total number of feeders	6						
Total number of oil switches	45						

Summary





Resiliency is the ability of the system to supply critical loads

Specific threat and

operating scenarios

need to be

the best possible

measure



Resiliency depends on system characteristics, including network, resources, and control



Proactive optimal control problem need to be suitably linearized to improve considered to decide computational tractability

Coordinated operation of manual switches and repairs ensures safety of operational crews

Resiliency is improved through coordinated planning, proactive and corrective control







Thank You

Acknowledgement: My research Group, PSERC, DOE RADIANCE and UI-ASSIST, NSF FW-HTF



Anurag K. Srivastava anurag.k.srivastava@wsu.edu

